Detailed models for PWR-canisters for Earthquake induced rock shearing

Abstract

A number of analyses of earthquake induced rock shearing, based on detailed geometry descriptions of PWR inserts have been performed. The purpose was to obtain material for responses to questions posed by SSM in their review of SKB’s licence application for a final repository for spent nuclear fuel at Forsmark with focus on global response. The shearing planes used in these analyses are located either at ¾ distances from the insert base or at the insert lid at the top of the insert. The obtained results show rather small differences in comparison to results from previous analyses which were based on a simplified geometry (Hernelind 2010).

Sammanfattning

Ett antal analyser av jordbävningsexiterad bergskjuvning har utförts med en detaljerad geometribeskrivning för PWR-insatser. Avsikten var att erhålla underlag för att besvara frågor ställda av SSM i deras granskning av SKB:s licensansökan för slutligt förvar av förbrukat kärnbränsle i Forsmark med fokus på globala resultat. Skjuvplanen som används i dessa analyser är belägen vid ¾-avståndet från insatsens botten eller vid insatsens stållock vid toppen av insatsen. Resultaten visar relativt små skillnader mot de tidigare analyserna som baserades på en betydligt mer förenklad geometri (Hernelind 2010).
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1 Introduction and simulation strategy

1.1 Introduction

According to the design premises set out by SKB, see Table 1-1 in (SKB 2010), the copper corrosion barrier should remain intact after a 5 cm shear movement at a velocity of 1 m/s for buffer material properties of a Ca-bentonite with saturated density of 2,050 kg/m³, for all locations and angles of the shearing fracture in the deposition hole, and for temperatures down to 0°C. The insert should maintain its pressure-bearing properties to isostatic loads.

One important function of the buffer material in a deposition hole in a KBS-3 repository for spent nuclear fuel is to reduce the impact of rock movements on the canister. A severe case of rock movement is a fast, earthquake-induced shear that takes place along a fracture intersecting a deposition hole. The consequences of such a rock shear movement have been investigated earlier, both by laboratory tests (Börgesson et al. 2004), laboratory simulations in the scale 1:10 and finite element modelling (Börgesson et al. 1995, 2004, Börgesson and Hernelind 2006). Those investigations were focussed on a base case with a horizontal shear plane and Na-bentonite as buffer material. Also the influence of the shear angle was studied with 45 and 22.5 degrees inclination between the shear plane and the canister.

A sequence of analyses has previously been performed for earthquake induced rock shear. The outcome of these analyses is described by Börgesson and Hernelind (2006). A final, deterministic sequence of analyses, were summarized in Hernelind (2010) where the buffer material properties are based on Ca-bentonite instead of Na-bentonite as Na-bentonite is expected to be converted to Ca-bentonite under repository conditions. In that study, also the copper shell, insert (nodular cast iron) and insert lid (steel) material properties were based on at the time available experimental results.

The horizontal shear plane at ¾-distance from the base of the insert was identified as the most severe case for the insert according to previous studies (Hernelind 2010). However, for the copper shell, as for the insert lid and the centre fixing screw, shearing at the insert lid is more severe.

Previous analyses of earthquake induced rock shearing (Hernelind 2010) were based on geometries with several simplifications such as:

- The insert lid was modelled without some of its details (valve, holes for mounting the valve and for the fixing screw).
- The insert lid was modelled without the centre fixing screw (instead the insert lid was tied to the insert at the periphery).
- The washer between the screw and insert lid was not modelled.
- The steel channel tubes were tied to the insert and modelled without support plates.
- The base plate of the insert was modelled without screws, nuts and support plates derived from the fixing during the casting process.

Previous analyses were also based on nominal dimensions and thus did not study any effect of allowable tolerances. Also, the initial position of the steel channel tube was assumed to be centred in the nodular cast iron insert.

The aim with this study is to investigate if the above mentioned assumptions are reasonable and whether they have any significant influences on the stress/strain levels and the corresponding and mechanical integrity of the canister and conclusions regarding the need for damage tolerance analysis.

In the present work, the geometry definition for the PWR canister is created based on CAD-drawings with as few simplifications as possible.
This report thus presents results for:

- Shearing at the ¼-distance between canister base and top for the cases of
  - Centrically placed channel tubes
    - With washer between screw and insert lid
    - Without washer between screw and insert lid
  - Eccentrically placed channel tubes based on allowable tolerances
  - Eccentrically placed insert such that the insert and the copper shell are in initial contact
  - Steel channel tubes welded to steel plates
- Shearing at the insert lid (specifically to study the behaviour of the insert lid when this is modelled with a screw instead of welded to the top of the insert).

The obtained results based on the more detailed geometry are then compared with the corresponding results from previous analyses (Hernelind 2010).

### 1.2 Simulation strategy

The performed simulations are based on the same geometry and meshes used in previous analyses (Hernelind 2010) for the buffer (bentonite), and the copper shell. The insert and channel tubes are defined by all details in the drawings for PWR inserts. The channel tubes are connected by support plates welded to the channel tubes. In the model the support plates are welded to the cast iron insert which also applies to the base plates and base screws. Otherwise, the channel tubes are constrained by contact conditions. All screw heads are simplified to a cylindrical shape and a few extremely small holes are removed (these holes doesn’t exist in reality and have been removed). The insert lid is fixed by a screw in the centre – previous analyses used a tied constrain between the outer face and the insert top.

The buffer material is Ca-bentonite with density 2,050 kg/m³ for all analyses.

The default model is defined without considering tolerances and one model is defined by moving the steel channels (channel tubes) as much as possible in radial direction due to allowed tolerances. Also the sensitivity for initial positioning of the insert relative to the copper shell has been studied.

Table 1-1 shows job-names for all analyses described in this report. Job-names are defined such that they also describe important features in each model and as additional information the table also contains a comment with more specific information. The comment “initial pre-stress” means that the screw has an initial condition and especially for cases including the washer most of the pre-stress in the screw is lost during the first equilibrium iteration. The comment “final pre-stress” means that the pre-stress in the screw is achieved after the first equilibrium iteration.
### Table 1-1. Definition of simulation cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Shear plane location</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>model6g_PWR_normal_quarter_2050ca3</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Previous PWR model - reference model (Hernelind 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new3_quasi</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Without considering tolerances but with steel channel tubes welded to steel support plates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_gap_moved_quasi</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Insert moved to copper shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_lock_quasi</td>
<td>Insert lid</td>
<td>Screw initial pre-stress 355 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_lock_half_quasi</td>
<td>Insert lid</td>
<td>Screw initial pre-stress 177.5 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_lock2_quasi</td>
<td>Insert lid</td>
<td>Screw final pre-stress 355 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_lock2b_quasi</td>
<td>Insert lid</td>
<td>Screw final pre-stress 177.5 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_lock_washer0_quasi</td>
<td>Insert lid</td>
<td>Screw final pre-stress 15 MPa with washer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_lock_washer3_quasi</td>
<td>Insert lid</td>
<td>Screw final pre-stress 67 MPa with washer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_washer_quasi</td>
<td>Insert lid</td>
<td>Screw initial pre-stress 355 MPa with washer between insert lid and screw head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_washer3_quasi</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Screw final pre-stress 67 MPa with washer between insert lid and screw head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3_quasi</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>The steel channels have been positioned due to tolerances with compressive stress at the thinnest section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3b_quasi</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>The steel channels have been positioned due to tolerances with tensile stress at the thinnest section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Meshes

The geometry used for the earthquake induced rock shear consists of the insert (made of nodular cast iron), the insert lid (made of steel) and the copper shell surrounded by buffer material (Ca-bentonite, density 2,050 kg/m³). The geometry is based on CAD-geometries received from SKB, “Ritningsförteckning för kapselkomponenter” (SKBdoc 1203875, ver 1 for the reference model and ver 2 for the new detailed model) and should therefore correspond to the current design.

Due to symmetry only one half has been modelled. The mesh is then generated by 3-dimensional solid elements, mainly 8-noded hexahedral (most of them using full integration technique) and a few 6-noded wedge elements.

The model size is defined by about 126,000 elements and 160,000 nodes (total number of variables about 650,000).

The buffer has been partitioned at two different positions defining the rock shear perpendicular to the axis of the canister at the top of the insert lid and at ¾-distance from the base of the insert, Fig 2-1. Fig 2-2 shows details of the mesh at the top corner, Fig 2-3 details when the washer is included and finally Fig 2-4 shows details at the base.

*Figure 2-1. From left to right plot of geometry for rock shear perpendicular to axis of canister, shearing plane at the insert lid and shearing plane at ¾-distance from the base of the insert.*
**Figure 2-2.** Detail of upper corner showing bentonite (green), copper shell (red), insert lid (brown), inserts (blue) and screw (white).

**Figure 2-3.** Detail of screw (white) and washer (yellow) with copper shell (red), insert lid (brown).
Figure 2-4. Detail of canister base showing bentonite (green), copper shell (red), insert (blue) and steel channel screw (white).
3  Geometry of parts

3.1  Deposition hole

The model of the deposition hole has a diameter of 1.75 m and a length of 6.9 m. The canister is placed about 0.5 m above the base and about 1.5 m below the top of the deposition hole. Buffer material (bentonite) surrounds the canister and will fill out the deposition hole. The rock shear is then simulated by prescribing boundary conditions at the buffer envelope.

3.2  Buffer (Ca-bentonite, density 2,050 kg/m\(^3\))

The buffer has same geometry and mesh as in previous analyses and is modelled with 3D solids, see Fig. 3-1.

![Figure 3-1. Plot of buffer. Left – geometry, middle – mesh at the top, right – mesh at the base.](image)

3.3  Copper shell

The copper shell surrounds the insert and interacts with the buffer and the insert. The canister has been modelled rather accurately in order to catch “hot spots” where large strains are expected, e.g. the fillets at the base and top (the copper lid). The lid is welded to the flange and will act as one part, see Figure 3-2.
3.4 Insert (nodular cast iron)

The insert is made of nodular cast iron. The steel channel tubes with plates are placed inside the cast. One PWR-model is defined where the tolerances with respect to the edge distance have been included.

3.5 PWR insert

The PWR insert is modelled as a homogeneous part with 3D solids based on SKB drawings, see Figures 3-3 - 3-6. Some modifications have been made.

- Screw heads are modified to cylindrical shape.
- Some small cylindrical screw holes have been removed (probably caused by exporting CAD-drawings to a new format).
- Screw hole conical bottom has been modified to cylindrical shape.
Figure 3-4. Insert PWR geometry (left), and mesh (right) – top view.

Figure 3-5. Insert PWR geometry (left), and mesh (right) – base plate.

Figure 3-6. Insert PWR geometry (left), and mesh (right) – base view.
3.6 Steel channel tubes (PWR)

The PWR steel channel tubes are connected by support plates which are tied to the insert (the weld has not been modelled), see Figures 3-7 - 3-9. The steel channel tubes also contain steel plates and screws at the bottom and is defined by common nodes for all overlapping region (one part).

Figure 3-7. Steel channel tubes geometry (left), and mesh (right) – top view.

Figure 3-8. Steel channel tubes geometry (left), and mesh (right) – base view.
3.7 Insert lid

The insert lid is made of steel and is modelled with 3D solids, see Figure 3-10. The surrounding gasket is not included which is assumed to have a minor effect on the stress distribution in the contact zone. Also a few details in the vent hole have been neglected since they hardly have any effect on the stiffness of the insert lid.
3.8 Washer

The washer is modelled with 3D solids, see Figure 3-11.

![Washer geometry (left), and mesh (right) – positioned between screw head and insert lid.](image1)

3.9 Screw

The screw fixing the insert lid to the insert is modelled with 3D solids, see Figure 3-12

![Screw geometry (left), and mesh (right) – used to fix the insert lid to the insert.](image2)
4 Material models

The finite element code ABAQUS version 6.12 (ABAQUS) was used for the calculations. The materials have been modelled as elastic-plastic with stress-strain properties that correspond to each material and the applied shear load induced strain rate, when applicable. The strains obtained from the simulations are below any necking and the material definitions thus cover the range of obtained results.

Note that in ABAQUS values outside the definition range will be constant with the last value defined.

4.1 Nodular cast iron (used by the insert)

The material model for the insert is based on a von Mises material model with elastic behaviour defined by Young’s modulus and the Poisson’s ratio and the plastic behaviour defined through yield surface (true stress) versus plastic strain (defined as logarithmic strain), see Table 4-1 and Figure 4-1 “Dragprovning av gjutjärn” (SKBdoc 1201865). The simulations have used the material properties for the BWR insert but have a minor influence on the PWR insert results.

Data is available up to 15% plastic equivalent strain which covers the range of obtained results for the performed analyses.

The experiments were performed at 0°C.

Table 4-1. True stress-true strain definition for BWR and PWR inserts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plastic strain (%)</th>
<th>Stress (MPa) Strain rate=0</th>
<th>Stress (MPa) Strain rate=2×10⁻⁴</th>
<th>Stress (MPa) Strain rate=0.5 at strain rate=0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The strain rate dependency is defined by assuming that the yield surface is proportional to the strain rate factor (at the strain rate 0.5 1/s the factor 1.08 has been chosen and at strain rate 0 1/s the factor is 1.0). The instantaneous strain rate factor is then linearly interpolated between 1 and 1.08 using the instantaneous strain rate.

Furthermore, Young’s modulus $E = 166$ GPa and Poisson’s ratio $\nu = 0.32$ (Raiko et al. 2010).
Figure 4-1. Insert yield surface (nodular cast iron), true stress, [MPa] versus logarithmic plastic equivalent strain for different plastic strain rates. Note that the base (plastic) is defined to coincide with strain rate=2×10⁻⁴ [1/s].

4.2 Steel (used by the channel tubes in the insert)

The material model for the channel tubes in the insert is based on a von Mises material model with elastic behaviour defined by Young’s modulus and the Poisson’s ratio. The plastic behaviour is defined through yield surface (true stress) versus plastic strain (using logarithmic strain).

The steel channel tubes are manufactured by steel S355J2H, for example Domex 355 MC B. SKB has earlier supplied test data for the yield point of their material, however no stress-strain data to be used in a plastic analysis. The stress-strain curve for Domex 355 MC B (SSABDirect 2008) can be scaled using the yield stress and tensile ultimate strength measured by SKB, $R_e = 412$ MPa (yield stress) and $R_m = 511$ MPa (ultimate stress). With this procedure a simplified stress-strain curve is obtained and described by Table 4-2 and Figure 4-2.

Table 4-2. Stress-strain definition for channel tubes used for the insert.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain (%)</th>
<th>Stress (MPa)</th>
<th>Log Strain (%)</th>
<th>True Stress (MPa)</th>
<th>Plastic equivalent strain (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, Young’s modulus $E = 210$ GPa and Poisson’s ratio $\nu = 0.3$ according to (Raiko et al. 2010, Table 4-3).
The data with lowest value from the experiment has been chosen for the yield surface. However, the plasticity definition for the steel channel tubes has very minor influence on the overall results. Furthermore the obtained results from the performed analyses are within the range for available material data.

4.3 Steel (used by the insert lid, support plates, bottom plates and screws)

The material model for the insert lid is based on a von Mises material model with elastic behaviour defined by Young’s modulus and the Poisson’s ratio. The plastic behaviour is defined through yield surface (true stress) versus plastic strain (calculated as logarithmic strain).

Manufacturing drawings for the lid specify steel S355J2G3. Strain versus stress for steel Domex 355 MC B with $Re = 389$ MPa (yield stress) and $Rm = 484$ MPa (ultimate stress) can be found from SSABDirekt (2008). According to SS-EN 10025-2:2004, the material S355 with nominal thickness 40-63 mm has $Re = 335$ MPa (yield stress) and $Rm = 470-630$ MPa (ultimate stress). Scaling stress-strain curves for Domex 355 by the minimum values given in SS-EN 10025-2:2004 implies the simplified material definition (engineering data) shown in Table 4-3 and Figure 4-3.

Table 4-3. Stress-strain definition for the insert lid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain (%)</th>
<th>Stress (MPa)</th>
<th>Log Strain (%)</th>
<th>True Stress (MPa)</th>
<th>Plastic equivalent strain (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1595</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>0.1593</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, Young’s modulus $E = 210$ GPa and Poisson’s ratio $\nu = 0.3$ according to Raiko et al. (2010, Table 4-3).
Figure 4-3. Insert lid yield surface, true stress [MPa], as a function of the logarithmic plastic equivalent strain.

The data with lowest value from the experiments (SS-EN 10025-2:2004) has been chosen for the yield surface. However, the plasticity definition for the insert lid has very minor influence on the overall results. Furthermore, the obtained results are within the range of available material data.

4.4 Bentonite model (used for the buffer)

The bentonite is modelled based on recent experiments, see Börgesson et al. (2010) and adapted to the actual density of the bentonite. The bentonite buffer is modelled using only total stresses that do not include the pore water pressure, the reason being the very fast compression and shear.

Two types of material models have been used in previous calculations. In the first calculations (Börgesson 1988) a total stress model that did not consider the pore water pressure was applied. In the later calculations (Börgesson 1992) the effective stress concept was applied. According to the effective stress concept the effective stress (the total stress minus the pore water pressure) is controlling the stress strain behaviour of a soil. A general experience regarding clays, which also is valid for swelling clays, is that the total stress concept is applicable for fast or undrained conditions while the effective stress is necessary to apply at drained processes that take place over longer times. The difference is mainly that the effective stress theory is required when there is time enough for the pore water to move in the clay and thus change the state of the clay.

The experience from the previous calculations was that the total stress approach, applied for the calculations of the model tests (Börgesson 1988), was well fitted for the fast shear movements used in these tests. The effective stress approach was motivated for the combined slow shear and creep studies made for the full-scale simulations (Börgesson 1992) but was also more complicated and more difficult to run.

Since the aim of this study e.g. is to study the effect of the fastest possible shear the conclusion is that the total stress approach is the best model for these calculations.

The most important properties of the bentonite for the rock shear are the stiffness and the shear strength. These properties vary with bentonite type, density and rate of strain. Ca-bentonite has higher shear strength than Na-bentonite and the shear strength increases with increasing density and strain.
rate. Since it cannot be excluded that the Na-bentonite MX-80 will be ion-exchanged to Ca-bentonite the properties of Ca-bentonite is used in the modelling. The acceptable density at saturation of the buffer material is 1,950 kg/m³ – 2,050 kg/m³ which is covered by the models below.

The material model is in ABAQUS expressed with the von Mises stress $\sigma_J$ that describes the “shear stress” in three dimensions according to Equation 3-1.

$$\sigma_J = \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2 \right) \right)^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3-1)

where

$\sigma_1$, $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$ are the principal stress components.

The material model defines the relation between the stress and the strain and is partitioned in elastic and plastic parts. For details regarding definition of the shear strength and the influence of density, pressure and rate of shear, see Börgesson et al. (1995, 2004).
"Rate dependent elastic-plastic stress-strain relation"

The elastic-plastic stress strain relations used for the three different densities are derived according to the description above in an identical way as the relations used in all previous calculations.

The bentonite is modelled as linear elastic combined with the von Mises plastic hardening - Table 4-4 shows the elastic constants. The plastic hardening curve is made a function of the strain rate of the material. The reason for the latter relation is that the shear strength of bentonite is rather sensitive to the strain rate. It increases with about 10% for every 10 times increase in strain rate. Since the rock shear at an earthquake is very fast (1 m/s) the influence is strong and the resulting shear strength will be different at different parts of the buffer. Figure 4-4 shows the material model. The stress-strain relation is plotted at different strain rates.

Table 4-4. Elastic material data for the bentonite buffer Na converted to Ca.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density (kg/m^3)/Swelling pressure (MPa)</th>
<th>Elastic part</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E (MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low - 1950/5.3</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean - 2000/8</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High - 2050/12.3</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experiments (Börjeson et al. 2010) show that also Young’s modulus $E$ is dependent on strain rate but in the calculations this has been neglected and a representative stiffness has been chosen (sensitivity analyses did show minor changes of the results when varying Young’s modulus between maximum and minimum values achieved from the experiments).

From the performed analyses it’s obvious that the bentonite gets plastic strains outside the defined range for material data. However, other studies, “Earthquake induced rock shear through a deposition hole – Part 2. Additional calculations of the influence of inhomogeneous buffer on the stresses in the canister.“ (SKBDoc 1407337) shows that it doesn’t affect the results significantly.
Figure 4-4. Plot of material definition for the bentonite buffer for different densities [kg/m$^3$] and strain rates [1/s]. Mises stress [MPa] versus engineering strain.
4.5 Copper material model (used by copper shell and washer)

4.5.1 Kimab material

The material model used for copper for most of the global analyses is described below. The stress-strain properties of the copper in the copper shell were investigated by the Corrosion and metals research institute Swerea Kimab and the results are then represented by a creep material model developed by Rolf Sandström, see Sandström and Andersson (2008), Jin and Sandström (2008) and Sandström et al. (2009).

The material model for the short duration rock shear analysis, used for all analyses in this study and also in previous studies for short duration rock shear analyses (Hernelind 2010) and “Global simulation of copper canister – final deposition” (SKBdoc 1339902), is based on a simplified elastic-plastic material model, see Table 4-5, using data from the creep model assuming a strain rate of $5 \times 10^{-3}/s$ which is considered as conservative.

The flow curve data has been calculated from Sandström et al. (2009) wherein eq.(17) has been used together with the parameter values defined in the corresponding Table 4-2, as well as $m = 3.06$, $\alpha = 0.19$, $\omega = 14.66$.

The copper model data is shown in Figure 4-5. Data is available up to 50% and covers the range of obtained results.

Table 4-5. Elastic-plastic material data for the copper at strain rate $5 \times 10^{-3}/s$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plastic part: von Mises stress $\sigma_f$ (MPa) at the following plastic strains ($\varepsilon_p$)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.10</th>
<th>0.20</th>
<th>0.30</th>
<th>0.40</th>
<th>0.50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E$ (MPa)</td>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>1.2 $\times$ 10$^5$</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Strain rate 5x10^-3/s](image)

Figure 4-5. Copper shell yield surface, true stress, [MPa] as a function of the logarithmic plastic equivalent strain.
5 Contact definitions

All the boundaries of the buffer, the copper shell, the insert and the insert lid interact through contact surfaces allowing finite sliding. All contact surfaces have friction at sliding with no cohesion and the friction coefficient 0.1, i.e. the friction angle (φ) is 5.7° and the cohesion (c) is 0 kPa.

The contact is released when the contact pressure is lost.

The contact between the insert and screw shaft is tied together (tied means that the surfaces are constrained together and will not allow for opening/closing or sliding) in order to simulate the threads and also to improve the numerical convergence rate. The screw head interacts with the steel lid through contact surface allowing finite sliding.

Also the support plates are tied to the insert since it is assumed that due to gravity the friction between the support plates and the insert prevents relative motion between these parts.

The bottom plates welded to the channel tubes including the bottom screws are also tied to the insert due to gravity preventing relative motion between these parts.

The interaction between the buffer and the rock (not modelled) is assumed to be tied through prescribed boundary conditions and will not allow for opening/closing or sliding.
6 Initial conditions

Initial conditions are defined as:

- Temperature for all nodes in the model as 300 K (only used when the copper material is defined by a creep material model which has not been done in this study). The temperature is assumed not to change during the analysis.

- The fixing screw between the insert lid and the insert has an initial stress in the axial direction.

- Total pressure for the buffer (17.3 MPa) based on the swelling pressure (12.3 MPa for bentonite with density 2,050 kg/m$^3$) plus 500 meter water pressure (5 MPa) when using elastic-plastic material model without pore pressure (the repository is located 500 meter below the surface for the Forsmark site). Since the canister deforms when the initial stresses are applied, the calculated magnitude of the swelling pressure will decrease. For that reason the initial condition for pressure is given as 40.2 MPa based on the obtained pressure (about 17.3 MPa) after equilibrium iterations. At the start of rock shearing simulation the pressure on the outer surface of the copper shell thus is about 17.3 MPa. Another observation is that the calculated swelling pressure will vary both in the axial and radial direction which means that it’s not possible to have the correct swelling pressure without using elements with pore pressure as a degree of freedom (ABAQUS have those elements but the material model is tuned to total stresses (pore pressure + effective pressure) and not effective stresses (effective stress for soils corresponds to mean stress).
7 Boundary conditions

Symmetry conditions have been specified for the symmetry plane (displacements in the normal direction to the symmetry plane prescribed to zero), see Fig 7-1.

The surrounding rock has been simulated by prescribing the corresponding displacements at the outer surface of the buffer and depends also on type of simulation.

Figure 7-1. Prescribed symmetry conditions.
8 Calculations

8.1 General

Previous analyses for earthquake induced rock shearing (Hernelind 2010) have been based on geometries having several simplifications as:
- Insert lid modelled without some details (valve, holes for mounting the valve and for the fixing screw).
- Insert lid without the centre fixing screw (instead the insert lid was tied to the insert at the periphery).
- The washer between the screw and insert lid was not modelled.
- Steel channel tubes tied to the insert and modelled without support plates.
- Base plate of the insert was modelled without screws, nuts and support plates derived from the fixing during the casting process.

Previous analyses also assumed a construction based on nominal measures and thus didn’t study any effect of allowable tolerances and also the initial position of the steel channel tubes was assumed to be centred in the nodular cast iron insert.

The aim with this study is to check if the above mentioned assumptions are reasonable and not have a significant influence on the stress/strain levels and corresponding conclusions regarding damage tolerance analysis and mechanical integrity.

The geometry definition for the PWR canister is therefore created based on CAD-drawings with as few simplifications as possible.

The obtained results are compared with previous analyses (Hernelind 2010) when Ca-bentonite with density 2,050 kg/m³ is used for the buffer. Two horizontal shear planes, one at 75% of the insert height from the base and one at the insert lid are used for the calculations.

8.1.1 Rock shear calculation cases

The reference cases for PWR are based on Na-bentonite converted to Ca-bentonite with density 2,050 kg/m³. Two cases of rock shear positions perpendicular to the axis of the canister have been analyzed (see also Table 1-1):
- at 75% of the height from the base of the insert
- at top of the insert lid

8.1.2 Analysis approach

The numerical calculations are performed using the FE-code ABAQUS version 6.12 (ABAQUS) assuming non-linear geometry and material definitions. This means that all non-linearities defined by the input will be considered such as large displacements, large deformations, non-linear interactions (contact) and non-linear materials. All non-linear contributions will be used when forming the equations to be solved for each equilibrium iteration. Short term analysis is based on quasi-static response but the results will depend on the time used for the simulation since rate-dependent material data is used. The code will choose suitable time-increments for the loading based on (in most cases) default convergence tolerances.

8.2 Short term analyses

The short term analyses (few seconds with 1 m/s as shearing velocity) consist of three steps where the shearing is prescribed by boundary conditions.
In the first step initial stresses corresponding to the swelling pressure (12.3 MPa for bentonite with density 2,050 kg/m$^3$) plus 5 MPa hydrostatic pressure (the deposition is made about 500 meters below the surface) in the bentonite is applied, see also in chapter “Initial conditions”.

In the second step 5 cm is used for the shearing magnitude and finally the third step defines additional 5 cm shearing.

The results are shown in Appendix 1-8 for the PWR insert.
9 Results for rock shear

For each analysis a large amount of results are available and to have an indication only a few values are reported. When stress components are plotted S22 corresponds to normal stress in y-direction (lateral) and S33 corresponds to normal stress in z-direction (axial).

Contour plots are based on element values extrapolated to nodal points and then contributions from different elements are averaged (the threshold value for averaging has been chosen to 100% which implies that averaging always is performed regardless of how much difference it is between the nodal values calculated for each element). Another extreme alternative is to define the threshold value to 0% which implies that averaging never is performed – this alternative will show greatest values but will often result in non-smooth contour plots. For a perfect mesh the choice of averaging doesn’t matter but for the models used in this report where focus is on global results there exist regions where the choice has a significant effect (especially where the geometry has some kind of discontinuity).

The Table values are taken from the contour plots and often from regions with locally bad mesh (or bad geometry) but nevertheless the values can be used for comparison purposes. It should be noted that the results are useful on the global level but if more detailed results are requested close to singularities (or regions having large gradients of stresses and strains) a sub-model analysis is recommended as a following study.

The insert should withstand a shearing magnitude of 5 cm but results are also shown for 10 cm shearing.

9.1 Comparison with previous analyses for the PWR insert

As reference case the horizontal shear plane at ¼-distance from the insert base have been chosen since this case experience the highest stresses/strains for the insert. Figures 9-1 - 9-15 show the outcome at 10 cm shearing for the PWR insert. Appendix 7 contains the comparison at 5 cm shearing but since the detailed model includes the screw pre stress the comparison with the reference model shows significant differences for small shearing magnitudes and the comparison is misleading at 5 cm shearing.

Figures 9-1 - 9-6 show that the global response (stresses/strains) has small differences between the detailed modelling and the reference model for the PWR insert (except regions with small magnitudes). The biggest differences are found close to insert lid fixing bolt (which not exists in the reference model), Figure 9-6. Also at the insert lid connection to the insert shows an expected difference due to different approach for the contact (tied in the reference model) and contact pair (simulates opening/closing) for the detailed model, Figures 9-2 and 9-3.
Figure 9-1. Plot shows plastic equivalent strain (PEEQ) after 10 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

Figure 9-2. Plot shows Mises stress at the top left corner after 10 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
Figure 9-3. Plot shows Mises stress at the top right corner after 10 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

Figure 9-4. Plot shows Mises stress at the bottom left corner after 10 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
9.1.1 Copper shell

The results for the copper shell are shown in Figures 9-7 and 9-8 with almost no differences between the two models. The FE-model for the copper shell is identical for the two models and the results indicate that the stiffness for the insert including steel channels in a global sense has similar stiffness properties. The reference case has a higher peak value (occurs at a geometry discontinuity) but the magnitude is far below the level for causing severe damage.
9.1.2 Nodular cast iron insert

Figures 9-9 - 9-13 show similar results for the detailed model and the reference model for the equivalent plastic strain except at the corner radius for the steel channel tubes. The reason for the difference (1.61% for the detailed model and 1.54% for the reference model) is explained by different radius at the corners (15 mm radius at the corner for the detailed model and 20 mm for the reference model). The minimum allowed radius is 15 mm and the nominal value is 20 mm.
Figure 9-9. Plot shows plastic equivalent strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 10 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

Figure 9-10. Plot shows plastic equivalent strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 10 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
Figure 9-11. Plot shows Mises stress for the insert after 10 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

Figure 9-12. Plot shows Mises stress for the insert after 10 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
9.1.3 Steel channel tubes

Figures 9-14 - 9-15 show rather small differences between the detailed PWR-model and the reference model even though the reference model has the steel channel tubes tied to the cast iron insert. A small disturbance of the stress field is observed at the support plates connections to the channels tubes.

Figure 9-13. Plot shows axial stress (S33) for the insert after 10 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

Figure 9-14. Plot shows Mises stress for the steel channel tubes after 10 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
Figure 9-15. Plot shows axial stress ($S_{33}$) for the steel channel tubes after 10 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

9.2 Insert lid fixed with central screw or welded to the PWR insert

One simplification made for the reference case is welding of the insert lid to the insert at the outer periphery. The current design instead uses a central screw to fix the insert lid to the insert. The results are shown at the last common converged shearing magnitude.

Figures 9-16 - 9-20 show differences between the reference case and the new detailed model when a horizontal shearing is applied at the insert lid which is assumed to be the most severe location for impact for the insert lid. Figures 9-16 - 9-17 show that the plastic strain in the copper shell are close between the two models even though the compressive stresses in the insert lid is much higher for the model having the insert lid fixed by a screw (Figures 9-18 - 9-19). The screw also implies local stress increase (Figure 9-20) even though the stress level is rather low.

Figures 9-21 - 9-22 shows the results for the insert when shearing at the lid: The Mises stress plot, Figure 9-21, shows similar results even though the maximum value differs (306 respectively 393 MPa for the reference case). Figure 9-22 shows that only the case with fixing the lid with central screw implies equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) but rather small, 0.24%.
**Figure 9-16.** Plots show equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) at the top left corner after 8 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

**Figure 9-17.** Plots show equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) at the top right corner after 8 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
Figure 9-18. Plots show lateral stress (S22) at the top left corner after 8 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

Figure 9-19. Plots show lateral stress (S22) at the top right corner after 8 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
Figure 9-20. Plots show Mises stress at the top around the fixing screw after 8 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

Figure 9-21. Plots show Mises stress in the insert after 8 cm shearing at the lid for detailed PWR model (left) and the reference PWR model (right).
9.3 Eccentric positioning of the steel channel tubes for the PWR insert

The reference case is based on nominal dimensions but the drawings also define allowable tolerances. One case of interest is when the tolerances imply that the steel channel tubes are placed as most eccentric as possible (10 mm) leading to decreased wall thickness at one corner (pwr_eccentric3_quasi). Figures 9-23 - 9-28 compare results (last common converged shearing magnitude) using the detailed model for centric and eccentric positioning of the steel channel tubes when a horizontal shearing is applied at ¾-distance from the insert base.

Figure 9-23 shows positioning of steel channel tubes with and without tolerances. Figures 9-24 - 9-25 show comparison of the Mises stress and equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) where a slightly increase could be observed when eccentric positioning. The peak value for Mises stress increases from 426 to 454 MPa and plastic equivalent strain increases from 6.3 to 9.1%. However, visual inspection of the plots shows very similar contours. Figures 9-26 - 9-27 show similar observation for the steel channel tubes. The peak value for axial stress (S33) increases from 416 to 429 MPa. The largest difference is for the equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ), 0.9 respectively 1.7%, at the corner radius of the insert for the thinnest wall thickness, Figure 9-28.

Figure 9-22. Plots show equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) in the insert after 8 cm shearing at the lid for detailed PWR model (left) and the reference PWR model (right).
Figure 9-24. Plots show Mises stress after 6 cm shearing for eccentric PWR model (upper) and the centric PWR model (lower).

Figure 9-25. Plots show equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 6 cm shearing for eccentric PWR model (upper) and the centric PWR model (lower).
Figure 9-26. Plots show axial stress for the steel channel tubes after 6 cm shearing for eccentric PWR model (upper) and the centric PWR model (lower).

Figure 9-27. Plots show equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 6 cm shearing for eccentric PWR model (upper) and the centric PWR model (lower).
Figure 9-28. Plots show equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 6 cm shearing for eccentric PWR model (upper) and the centric PWR model (lower).

The case pwr_eccentric3_quasi has been sheared such that the stress is compressive for the thinnest region and the case pwr_eccentric3b_quasi has been sheared such that the stress is in tension for the thinnest region. Figures 9-29 – 9-36 show a comparison for these cases at 6 cm shearing (the last common converged load magnitude for both cases). The two cases show rather similar results with highest equivalent plastic strains (PEEQ) caused by the swelling pressure from the buffer, see Figures 9-30, 9-33 and 9-35. Another finding is that the eccentricity seems to decrease strains at the thinnest region and increase at the thicker region which is explained by the translation of the bending axis. The main difference between the two cases occurs normally at top and bottom and is explained by local effects from meshing and contact definitions but should not be representative. However, this differences could be studied more in detail but requires an improved mesh in these regions.

Figure 9-29. Plots show Mises stress [MPa] for the copper shell after 6 cm shearing for eccentric PWR model with compressive stress at the thinnest region (upper) and with tensile stress at the thinnest region (lower).
Figure 9-30. Plots show plastic equivalent strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 6 cm shearing for eccentric PWR model with compressive stress at the thinnest region (upper) and with tensile stress at the thinnest region (lower).

Figure 9-31. Plots show Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 6 cm shearing for eccentric PWR model with compressive stress at the thinnest region (upper) and with tensile stress at the thinnest region (lower).
**Figure 9-32.** Plots show axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 6 cm shearing for eccentric PWR model with compressive stress at the thinnest region (upper) and with tensile stress at the thinnest region (lower).

**Figure 9-33.** Plots show plastic equivalent strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 6 cm shearing for eccentric PWR model with compressive stress at the thinnest region (upper) and with tensile stress at the thinnest region (lower).
**Figure 9-34.** Plots show Mises stress [MPa] for the channel tubes after 6 cm shearing for eccentric PWR model with compressive stress at the thinnest region (upper) and with tensile stress at the thinnest region (lower).

**Figure 9-35.** Plots show axial stress [MPa] for the channel tubes after 6 cm shearing for eccentric PWR model with compressive stress at the thinnest region (upper) and with tensile stress at the thinnest region (lower).
9.4 Initial insert/copper shell positioning for the PWR insert

The reference case assumes that the insert and the copper shell are co-axial but it is possible that the insert initially is in contact with the copper shell. Figures 9-37 - 9-42 compare the results using the detailed model for co-axial/no co-axial positioning when the horizontal shear plane is at ¾-distance from the insert base. As can be seen from Figures 9-41 and 9-42 the initial position of the insert relative to the copper shell has extremely small effect. Figures 9-39 - 9-40 shows the initial positioned insert relative to the copper shell.
Figure 9-38. Plots show geometry for eccentric placed insert (left) and co-axial insert (right) relative to the copper shell.

Figure 9-39. Plots show Mises stress [MPa] after 10 cm shearing for eccentric placed insert (top) and co-axial insert (bottom) relative to the copper shell.
Figure 9-40. Plots show equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 10 cm shearing for eccentric placed insert (top) and co-axial insert (bottom) relative to the copper shell.

Figure 9-41. Plots show equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 10 cm shearing for eccentric placed insert (top) and co-axial insert (bottom) relative to the copper shell at the top left corner.
9.5 Stress concentrations for the detailed analyses for the PWR insert

The reference case have several simplifications such as neglecting screw holes, base plates with screws and support plates fixing the steel channel tubes. The detailed model includes these features. Figures 9-43 - 9-47 shows some of the achieved results when the horizontal shear plane at \( \frac{3}{4} \)-distance from the insert base has been chosen. However, even though a lot of details are included the global model is not intended to pick-up detailed stress concentrations (which require a much more dense mesh). The detailed model only indicates if stress concentrations need to be studied more in detail by e.g. using a sub-model technique. Figure 9-43 shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert where the maximum strain is found at outer surface in mid insert but some increased strains could also be found where the support plates are imbedded into the insert.

Other areas of interest are at the insert base where the steel channel tubes base plates are located (Figure 9-44) and at the top of the insert where screw holes for lifting purposes are located (Figure 9-45). The base plates for the steel channel tubes are plotted (Figure 9-46) and finally the neighbourhood to the insert lid fixing screw (Figure 9-47). None of the plots show any significant stress/strain increase related to the geometry discontinuities.
Figure 9-43. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 10 cm shearing at $\frac{3}{4}$-distance from the insert base.

Figure 9-44. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 10 cm shearing at $\frac{3}{4}$-distance from the insert base.
**Figure 9-45.** Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 10 cm shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base.

**Figure 9-46.** Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tube plates at the base after 10 cm shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base.
Figure 9-47. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) at the top close to the fixing screw after 10 cm shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base.

9.6 Washer and insert lid fixing screw

All analyses with the detailed model with horizontal shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base includes the insert lid fixing screw which also is defined with an initial axial stress corresponding to the yield stress for the screw (355 MPa) – exceptions from this are models including the washer where the final pre-stress in the screw is specified. The screw is tied to the inner insert hole and have traditional contact (simulating opening/closing) between screw shaft and insert lid hole.

The approach using initial stress for the screw works fine if the surrounding parts (insert and insert lid are stiff compared to the screw). However, if the washer is included the initial stress in the screw is lost at equilibrium since the washer is much softer and accordingly deforms when iterations are made to have equilibrium.

Horizontal shearing at the lid has been analyzed with the initial stress method with two different magnitudes for the initial stress (335 MPa and 175 MPa) and with washer the prescribed screw stress has been defined to 81 MPa and 230 MPa (with 230 MPa the washer compresses too much with the existing model preventing the analysis to complete).

Figure 9-48 shows the axial stress after established equilibrium. It could be observed that the initial screw stress decreases almost a factor of two at equilibrium and thus the expected pre-stress in the screw became too low. For the washer models the defined screw stress is retained.

Figure 9-49 shows the plastic equivalent strain after established equilibrium and only the washer models have significant strains (the case with 230 MPa obviously imply a highly compressed washer). Figure 9-50 shows the equivalent plastic strain after 5 cm shearing and only the case with initial stress 355 MPa shows any significant strain (however not considered to be high).

Figure 9-51 shows the lateral stress after 5 cm shearing and it could be noticed that the insert lid has negative lateral stress (contact) for shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base and positive lateral stress (no contact) in the same region when shearing at the lid. This is confirmed also by the lateral displacement (U2), Figure 9-52 where it is observed that without washer there is a slip between screw head and insert lid but with washer the slip is zero (or small) – another observation is that shearing at the lid imply much smaller displacement at the insert (about a factor of 10) compared to shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base. Figure 9-53 and 9-54 show the corresponding results for 8 cm shearing.
Figure 9-48. Plots show axial stress (S33) in the screw after established initial equilibrium. Upper left plot shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 355 MPa, upper right plot shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 177.5 MPa, lower left plot shows shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base when screw stress is specified to 81 MPa and lower right plot shows shearing at ¾-distance when screw stress is specified to 230 MPa.

Figure 9-49. Plots show plastic equivalent strain (PEEQ) in the screw after established initial equilibrium. Upper left plot shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 355 MPa, upper right plot shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 177.5 MPa, lower left plot shows shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base when screw stress is specified to 81 MPa and lower right plot shows shearing at ¾-distance when screw stress is specified to 230 MPa.
Figure 9-50. Plots show plastic equivalent strain (PEEQ) in the screw after 5 cm shearing. Upper left shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 355 MPa, top right shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 177.5 MPa and lower left shows shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base when screw stress is specified to 81 MPa.

Figure 9-51. Plots show lateral stress (S22) in the screw after 5 cm shearing. Upper left shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 355 MPa, top right shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 177.5 MPa and lower left shows shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base when screw stress is specified to 81 MPa.
Figure 9-52. Plots show lateral displacement (U2) in the screw after 5 cm shearing. Upper left shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 355 MPa, top right shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 177.5 MPa and lower left shows shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base when screw stress is specified to 81 MPa.

Figure 9-53. Plots show plastic equivalent strain (PEEQ) in the screw after 8 cm shearing. Upper plot shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 355 MPa and lower plot shows shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base when screw stress is specified to 81 MPa.
Figure 9-54. Plots show lateral displacement (U2) in the screw after 8 cm shearing. Upper plot shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 355 MPa and lower plot shows shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base when screw stress is specified to 81 MPa.

The Mises stress and equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) in the insert lid are plotted in Figures 9-55 and 9-56.

Figure 9-55. Plots show Mises stress in the insert lid after 8 cm shearing. Upper plot shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 355 MPa and lower plot shows shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base when screw stress is specified to 81 MPa.
Figure 9-56. Plots show equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) in the insert lid after 8 cm shearing. Upper plot shows shearing at the lid using initial stress 355 MPa and lower shows shearing at ¾-distance from the insert base when screw stress is specified to 81 MPa.

The rather high increase of stress and strain for the insert lid is explained by how the contact is modelled between the insert lid and the insert, see Figures 9-57 – 9-60.

Figure 9-57. Plots show equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) in the insert lid after 5 cm shearing. Note that only one row of elements show plastic strains.
Figure 9-58. Plots show contact pressure (CPRESS) in the insert lid after 5 cm shearing. Note the oscillations of contact pressure.

Figure 9-59. Plots show Mises stress (without averaging) in the insert lid after 5 cm shearing. Note that only one row of elements show high magnitude of Mises stress.
Below the obtained results are summarized in Tables 9-1 - 9-9. The tables show maximum values for Mises stress, PEEQ (plastic equivalent strain) and for the insert and channel tubes also maximum and minimum axial stress (S33). These values are not always representative since the extreme values could be caused by badly shaped elements or discontinuities in the geometry and furthermore the values are taken from the corresponding contour plots which are based on extrapolated values. If the yield surface has been reached then the Mises stress should be defined from PEEQ at the integration points.

Tables 9-1 - 9-6 show the results for the PWR insert with shearing of 5 and 10 cm at ¾-distance from the base. The Mises stress, Table 9-1 and 9-2, show similar values for insert, channel tubes and the insert lid (except for the eccentric case where the stress is significantly higher). However, the response is elastic for the insert lid. The Mises stress show lower values when the washer is included probably due to a lower initial pre-stress in the screw to avoid too distorted washer.

The same conclusion holds for PEEQ, Table 9-3 and 9-4, which also shows very small values for the screw regardless of initial pre-stress. The maximum/minimum values for the axial stress (S33), Table 9-5 and 9-6, are very similar for all cases.

The most extreme values occurs for axial stress in the channels (pwr_eccentric3b_quasi), see Tables 9-5 and 9-6. The extreme values are found at the bottom plate and are explained by bad element geometries.

**Table 9-1. Maximum Mises stress [MPa] for PWR insert with shearing of 5 cm at ¾-distance.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Copper shell</th>
<th>Insert</th>
<th>Channel tubes</th>
<th>Insert lid</th>
<th>Screw</th>
<th>Washer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference (Hernelind 2010) – model6g_PWR_normal_quarter_2050ca3</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new3_quasi</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3_quasi</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3b_quasi</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 9-2. Maximum Mises stress [MPa] for PWR insert with shearing of 10 cm at \(\frac{3}{4}\)-distance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Copper shell</th>
<th>Insert</th>
<th>Channel tubes</th>
<th>Insert lid</th>
<th>Screw</th>
<th>Washer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference (Hernelind 2010) – model6g_PWR_normal_quarter_2050ca3</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new3_quasi</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3_quasi (6 cm)</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3b_quasi (6 cm)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_washer</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_washer3</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 9-3. Maximum PEEQ (plastic equivalent strain) [%] for PWR insert with shearing of 5 cm at \(\frac{3}{4}\)-distance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Copper shell</th>
<th>Insert</th>
<th>Channel tubes</th>
<th>Insert lid</th>
<th>Screw</th>
<th>Washer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference (Hernelind 2010) – model6g_PWR_normal_quarter_2050ca3</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new3_quasi</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3_quasi</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3b_quasi</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_washer</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_washer3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 9-4. Maximum PEEQ (plastic equivalent strain) [%] for PWR insert with shearing of 10 cm at \(\frac{3}{4}\)-distance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Copper shell</th>
<th>Insert</th>
<th>Channel tubes</th>
<th>Insert lid</th>
<th>Screw</th>
<th>Washer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference (Hernelind 2010) – model6g_PWR_normal_quarter_2050ca3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new3_quasi</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3_quasi (6 cm)</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3b_quasi (6 cm)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_washer</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_washer3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9-5. Axial stress, S33 [MPa] for PWR insert with shearing of 5 cm at ¾-distance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Insert maximum</th>
<th>Insert minimum</th>
<th>Channel tubes maximum</th>
<th>Channel tubes minimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference (Hernelind 2010) – model6g_PWR_normal_2050ca3</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>-413</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>-542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new3_quasi</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>-423</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>-554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3_quasi</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>-459</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3b_quasi</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>-427</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>-837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>-423</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>-554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_washer</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>-415</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>-544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_washer3</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>-415</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>-544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9-6. Axial stress, S33 [MPa] for PWR insert with shearing of 10 cm at ¾-distance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Insert maximum</th>
<th>Insert minimum</th>
<th>Channel tubes maximum</th>
<th>Channel tubes minimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference (Hernelind 2010) – model6g_PWR_normal_2050ca3</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>-422</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>-547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new3_quasi</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>-434</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>-563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3_quasi (6 cm)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>-466</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>-521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3b_quasi (6 cm)</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>-437</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>-918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>-474</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>-535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_washer</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>-461</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>-588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_washer3</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>-461</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>-588</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When shearing at the insert lid the most significant finding is the increase of stresses and strains for the insert lid, Tables 9-7 and 9-8. The insert lid shows very locally some plasticity and is judged to not cause any severe damage. The rather high increase of stress and strain is explained by how the contact is modelled between the insert lid and the insert, see Figures 9-57 – 9-60.

The axial stress shows as expected lower values, Tables 9-5, 9-6 and 9-9, when shearing at the insert lid compared to shearing at ¾-distance from the base.

Table 9-7. Maximum Mises stress [MPa] for PWR insert with shearing of 5 cm at the insert lid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Copper shell</th>
<th>Insert Channel tubes</th>
<th>Insert lid</th>
<th>Screw Washer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference (SKBdoc 1339902) – N34b_finer_1sekm_normal_quasi_model6</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_lock_quasi</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_lock_half_quasi</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_lock_washer0_quasi</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 9-8. Maximum PEEQ (plastic equivalent strain) [%] for PWR insert with shearing of 5 cm at the insert lid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Copper shell</th>
<th>Insert (°C)</th>
<th>Channel tubes (°C)</th>
<th>Insert lid (°C)</th>
<th>Screw (°C)</th>
<th>Washer (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference (SKBdoc 1339902) – N34b_finer_1sekm_normal_quasi_model6</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_lock_quasi</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_lock_half_quasi</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_lock_washer0_quasi</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_lock_washer3_quasi</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_lock2_quasi</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_lock2b_quasi</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 9-9. Axial stress, S33 [MPa] for PWR insert with shearing of 5 cm at the insert lid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Insert maximum</th>
<th>Insert minimum</th>
<th>Channel tubes maximum</th>
<th>Channel tubes minimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference (SKBdoc 1339902) – N34b_finer_1sekm_normal_quasi_model6</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>-184</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_lock_quasi</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>-253</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_lock_half_quasi</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>-253</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>-251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_lock_washer0_quasi</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>-253</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>-268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_lock_washer3_quasi</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>-258</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>-268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_lock2_quasi</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>-253</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>-251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_lock2b_quasi</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>-253</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>-251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10 Uncertainties

The obtained results are based on several assumptions regarding loads and material properties. Also the discretization in the computer model will affect the results. Some of these influencing factors are addressed below:

- All experiments used for material calibration have a spread which will imply a range for the properties defining each material model.

- Material properties for bentonite and nodular cast iron depend on hydrostatic pressure but in this study the material definitions are based on Mises plasticity theory using tensile properties except for the bentonite where typical tests are triaxial compression and oedometer tests. Same assumptions have been used for material properties as in previous analyses, e.g. Hernelind (2010).

- Swelling pressure for the bentonite will affect the material stiffness. The experimental results have a spread in the results and the used data should be conservative in the sense that the obtained stress and strain magnitudes are overestimated.

- Element mesh is rather fine but nevertheless it is too coarse in some regions, especially at the welds and regions with geometric discontinuities. A more refined mesh will probably increase the maximum stress and strain levels. Fortunately, the use of non-linear material properties (such as plasticity) will decrease the sensitivity on the used mesh. The used mesh has been judged to be accurate enough considering also the required computer resources to obtain the results.
11 Evaluation and conclusions

The study has been focused on global results from the rock shear analyses. The results presented in this report will be further evaluated in a damage tolerance assessment. The obtained results are summarized as follows.

- General findings
  - The maximum plastic strain in the copper shell is approximately 10%, occurs in fillets (besides regions containing singularities) for all cases at 5 cm shearing.
  - The maximum plastic strains in the insert result from bending, pwr_new3_quasi at 5 cm shearing. However, the magnitude is small (1.4%) compared to ultimate strains (>6.3%) and is considered not to threaten the mechanical integrity.
  - The maximum principal stress in the insert, 333 MPa, mainly comes from bending of the shell, case pwr_new3_quasi at 5 cm shearing– the level depends mainly on material properties for the insert (and dimensions) and the stiffness of the buffer.
  - The maximum plastic strain in the steel tubes, 2.6%, occurs at the corners of a specific steel tube. However, the magnitude is small compared to ultimate strains (>16%) and is considered not to cause any failure.
  - Strain rate effects for bentonite, copper and iron will affect the results. Strain rate dependency is included for the buffer and the cast iron. The copper shell will have the strain rate effect included when the creep model is used but in this study all analyzes have been performed by using Mises plasticity theory.
  - The nodular cast iron material has pressure dependent properties but data from tensile tests has been used which is considered to be conservative.
  - The insert shows similar global results when comparing with previous analyses (Figures 9-9 to 9-13) with local differences when using geometry based on production tolerances (Figure 9-10) and a following damages tolerance analysis is therefore recommended.

- Effect on modelling steel tubes with support plates and contact surfaces
  - The approach used for connecting the steel tubes to the insert (tied connection) in previous analyses imply very similar results as when modelling the connection with steel plates welded to the steel tubes. E.g. the axial stress (S33) differ less than 2% at 5 cm shearing for the insert compared with the reference case (Hernelind 2010). The peak values for Mises stress differ more where the support plates between the steel tubes are tied to the insert. Another finding is that the channel tubes have decreased stress magnitudes due to increased stiffness when including the support plates.

- Effect of modelling the insert lid with a fixing screw in the centre
  - Modelling the insert lid with a fixing screw in the centre will change the stress distribution in the insert lid ends as well at the peripheral insert top but the stress/strain-level is still not considered to cause any severe damage. The stresses in the insert lid are in the elastic range except for a small region close to the contact with the insert – small equivalent plastic strains less than 0.001. Also the screw shows stresses in the elastic range except locally close to the contact with the insert lid. Low pre-stress
in the screw implies slip between screw head and insert lid - the screw takes the load by almost pure elastic shear stresses. High pre-stress in the screw prevents slip and the screw takes the load by bending causing some plasticity (equivalent plastic strain less than 0.001). Using a washer distributes the contact stresses more smoothly but doesn’t change the conclusions above.
• Effect of including small details
  
  o Neglecting small details (screw holes, steel tube bottom plates, screws and nuts) does not cause any visible stress concentrations (except for the support plates). The Mises stress is in the elastic range at the insert base and steel tubes base (containing plates, screws and nuts) which is also the case for the insert top (containing a small screw hole). However, the mesh is not fine enough to have the correct stress distribution. A sub-model based on the obtained global displacement solution could be used to obtain more accurate results for these regions.

• Effect of production tolerances
  
  o Considering tolerances when creating the model increases stresses/strains in regions where the wall thickness have been reduced but the magnitude for stress/strain will not cause any failure. At the corner where the wall thickness is smallest the equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) increases at most from 0.5% to 1.4%, comparing pwr_eccentric3_quasi with pwr_eccentric3b_quasi.

The plastic equivalent strains are low for all cases (except for the washer which should have large plastic deformation – with high pre-stress almost 30%) and occurs at localized regions, except for the insert when shearing at ¼-distance from the base where the highest strain of 4.1% at 6 cm shearing and 2.7% at 5 cm shearing is found. However, also this strain level is considered to be low and will not break the insert.

The insert lid have for most cases a pure elastic response and when plastic strains occur (as most about 6%), they are very localized and will not cause any failure.

Maximum equivalent plastic strain in the copper shell, 15.5%, is found at a geometric discontinuity and is much localized. This strain level is not considered to cause any failure of the copper shell.

The used material definitions are valid for the strain levels obtained in the reported analyses and the strains are all far below any necking (or softening of the material when looking at engineering stresses/strains).
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Appendix 1 – Plots for pwr_new3_quasi

Plots show deformed geometry as contour plots for all parts at shearing magnitude 5 and 10 cm for case pwr_new3_quasi (horizontal shearing at ¾-distance from base of the insert). The view shows the symmetry plane and all deformations are scaled by a factor of two.

Figure A1-1. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A1-2. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A1-3. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A1-4. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A1-5. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A1-6. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A1-7. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A1-8. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.


Figure A1-9. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A1-10. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A1-11. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A1-12. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A1-13. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel copper shell after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A1-14. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A1-15. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A1-16. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 10 cm shearing.
**Figure A1-17.** Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 10 cm shearing.

**Figure A1-18.** Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A1-19. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A1-20. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A1-21. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert base after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A1-22. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 10 cm shearing.
**Figure A1-23.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert top after 10 cm shearing.

**Figure A1-24.** Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 10 cm shearing.
**Figure A1-25.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 10 cm shearing.

**Figure A1-26.** Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes base plates after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A1-27. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes base plates after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A1-28. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 10 cm shearing.
**Figure A1-29.** Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] close to the insert lid fixing screw after 10 cm shearing.

**Figure A1-30.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) close to the insert lid fixing screw after 10 cm shearing.
Appendix 2 – Plots for pwr_eccentric3_quasi

Plots show deformed geometry as contour plots for all parts at shearing magnitude 5 and 7 cm for case pwr_eccentric3_quasi (horizontal shearing at ¾-distance from base of the insert) when the insert is placed eccentric in respect to the steel channel tubes taking tolerances into account and with compressive stress at the thinnest section. The view shows the symmetry plane and all deformations are scaled by a factor of two.

**Figure A2-1.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 5 cm shearing.

**Figure A2-2.** Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
**Figure A2-3.** Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.

**Figure A2-4.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A2-5. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A2-6. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A2-7. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A2-8. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A2-9. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A2-10. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A2-11. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A2-12. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A2-13. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A2-14. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A2-15. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A2-16. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 6 cm shearing.
Figure A2-17. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 6 cm shearing.

Figure A2-18. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 6 cm shearing.
Figure A2-19. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 6 cm shearing.

Figure A2-20. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 6 cm shearing.
Figure A2-21. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert base after 6 cm shearing.

Figure A2-22. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 6 cm shearing.
Figure A2-23. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert top after 6 cm shearing.

Figure A2-24. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 6 cm shearing.
Figure A2-25. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 6 cm shearing.

Figure A2-26. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes base plates after 6 cm shearing.
Figure A2-27. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes base plates after 6 cm shearing.

Figure A2-28. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 6 cm shearing.
Figure A2-29. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] close to the insert lid fixing screw after 6 cm shearing.

Figure A2-30. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) close to the insert lid fixing screw after 6 cm shearing.
Appendix 3 – Plots for pwr_eccentric3b_quasi

Plots show deformed geometry as contour plots for all parts at shearing magnitude 5 and 10 cm for case pwr_eccentric3b_quasi (horizontal shearing at ¾-distance from base of the insert) when the insert is placed eccentric in respect to the steel channel tubes taking tolerances into account and with tensile stress at the thinnest section. The view shows the symmetry plane and all deformations are scaled by a factor of two.

Figure A3-1. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A3-2. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A3-3. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A3-4. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A3-5. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A3-6. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A3-7. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A3-8. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A3-9. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A3-10. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A3-11. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A3-12. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A3-13. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A3-14. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A3-15. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A3-16. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 7 cm shearing.
**Figure A3-17.** Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 7 cm shearing.

**Figure A3-18.** Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 7 cm shearing.
Figure A3-19. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 7 cm shearing.

Figure A3-20. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 7 cm shearing.
Figure A3-21. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert base after 7 cm shearing.

Figure A3-22. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 7 cm shearing.
Figure A3-23. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert top after 7 cm shearing.

Figure A3-24. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 7 cm shearing.
Figure A3-25. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 7 cm shearing.

Figure A3-26. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes base plates after 7 cm shearing.
Figure A3-27. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes base plates after 7 cm shearing.

Figure A3-28. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 7 cm shearing.
Figure A3-29. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] close to the insert lid fixing screw after 7 cm shearing.

Figure A3-30. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) close to the insert lid fixing screw after 7 cm shearing.
Appendix 4 – Plots for pwr_new_gapMoved2_quasi

Plots show deformed geometry as contour plots for all parts at shearing magnitude 5 and 10 cm for case pwr_new_gapMoved2_quasi (horizontal shearing at ¾-distance from base of the insert) when the insert is placed eccentric in respect to the copper shell and in contact at one line. The view shows the symmetry plane and all deformations are scaled by a factor of two.

Figure A4-1. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A4-2. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A4-3. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A4-4. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
**Figure A4-5.** Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.

**Figure A4-6.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A4-7. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A4-8. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.
**Figure A4-9.** Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.

**Figure A4-10.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.
**Figure A4-11.** Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.

**Figure A4-12.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A4-13. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A4-14. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A4-15. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A4-16. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A4-17. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A4-18. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 10 cm shearing.
**Figure A4-19.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 10 cm shearing.

**Figure A4-20.** Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A4-21. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert base after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A4-22. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A4-23. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert top after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A4-24. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A4-25. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A4-26. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes base plates after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A4-27. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes base plates after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A4-28. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A4-29. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] close to the insert lid fixing screw after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A4-30. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) close to the insert lid fixing screw after 10 cm shearing.
Appendix 5 – Plots for pwr_new_lock_quasi

Plots show deformed geometry as contour plots for all parts at shearing magnitude 5 and 8 cm for case pwr_new_lock_quasi (horizontal shearing at the insert lid) using pre-stress in the screw corresponding to yield stress. The view shows the symmetry plane and all deformations are scaled by a factor of two. Note! The analysis failed to complete 10 cm shearing.

**Figure A5-1.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 5 cm shearing.

**Figure A5-2.** Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A5-3. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A5-4. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A5-5. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A5-6. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.
**Figure A5-7.** Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.

**Figure A5-8.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A5-9. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A5-10. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A5-11. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A5-12. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A5-13. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A5-14. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A5-15. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A5-16. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 8 cm shearing.
**Figure A5-17.** Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 8 cm shearing.

**Figure A5-18.** Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 8 cm shearing.
Figure A5-19. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 8 cm shearing.

Figure A5-20. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 8 cm shearing.
Figure A5-21. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert base after 8 cm shearing.

Figure A5-22. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 8 cm shearing.
Figure A5-23. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert top after 8 cm shearing.

Figure A5-24. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 8 cm shearing.
Figure A5-25. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 8 cm shearing.

Figure A5-26. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes base plates after 8 cm shearing.
Figure A5-27. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes base plates after 8 cm shearing.

Figure A5-28. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 8 cm shearing.
Figure A5-29. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] close to the insert lid fixing screw after 8 cm shearing.

Figure A5-30. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) close to the insert lid fixing screw after 8 cm shearing.
Appendix 6 – Plots for pwr_new_lock_half_quasi

Plots show deformed geometry as contour plots for all parts at shearing magnitude 5 cm for case pwr_new_lock_half_quasi (horizontal shearing at the insert lid) using pre-stress in the screw corresponding to half yield stress. The view shows the symmetry plane and all deformations are scaled by a factor of two. Note! The analysis failed to converge for shearing displacement > 5 cm.

Figure A6-1. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A6-2. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A6-3. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A6-4. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A6-5. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A6-6. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A6-7. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A6-8. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A6-9. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A6-10. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A6-11. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A6-12. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A6-13. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A6-14. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A6-15. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.
Appendix 7 – Plots for pwr_new2_washer3_quasi

Plots show deformed geometry as contour plots for all parts at shearing magnitude 5 and 10 cm for case pwr_new2_washer3_quasi (horizontal shearing at $\frac{3}{4}$-distance from base of the insert) when the washer between the screw head and insert lid is included. The view shows the symmetry plane and all deformations are scaled by a factor of two.

**Figure A7-1.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 5 cm shearing.

**Figure A7-2.** Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A7-3. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A7-4. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 5 cm shearing.
**Figure A7-5.** Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.

**Figure A7-6.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert base after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A7-7. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A7-8. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert top after 5 cm shearing.
**Figure A7-9.** Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.

**Figure A7-10.** Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A7-11. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A7-12. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes base plates after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A7-13. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A7-14. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.
Figure A7-15. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) close to the insert lid fixing screw after 5 cm shearing.

Figure A7-16. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) after 10 cm shearing.
**Figure A7-17.** Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 10 cm shearing.

**Figure A7-18.** Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the insert after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A7-19. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A7-20. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert base after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A7-21. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert base after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A7-22. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the insert top after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A7-23. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the insert top after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A7-24. Plot shows axial stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A7-25. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A7-26. Plot shows maximum principal stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes base plates after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A7-27. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the steel channel tubes base plates after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A7-28. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 10 cm shearing.
Figure A7-29. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] close to the insert lid fixing screw after 10 cm shearing.

Figure A7-30. Plot shows equivalent plastic strain (PEEQ) close to the insert lid fixing screw after 10 cm shearing.
Appendix 8 – Comparison pwr_new3_quasi versus model6g_PWR_normal_quarter_2050ca3 at 5 cm shearing

Plots show comparison between detailed modelling and the reference case at shearing magnitude 5 cm (horizontal shearing at ¼ distance from insert base). The view shows the symmetry plane and all deformations are scaled by a factor of two.

**Figure A8-1.** Plot shows plastic equivalent strain (PEEQ) after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

**Figure A8-2.** Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] at the top left corner after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
Figure A8-3. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] at the top right corner after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

Figure A8-4. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] at the bottom left corner after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
**Figure A8-5.** Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] at the base right corner after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

**Figure A8-6.** Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] at the top close to the screw after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
Figure A8-7. Plot shows plastic equivalent strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

Figure A8-8 Plot shows plastic equivalent strain (PEEQ) for the copper shell after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
**Figure A8-9.** Plot shows plastic equivalent strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

**Figure A8-10.** Plot shows plastic equivalent strain (PEEQ) for the insert after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
Figure A8-11. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

Figure A8-12. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
Figure A8-13. Plot shows axial stress, $S_{33}$, [MPa] for the insert after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
Figure A8-14. Plot shows Mises stress [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).

Figure A8-15. Plot shows axial stress, S33, [MPa] for the steel channel tubes after 5 cm shearing for detailed PWR model (upper) and the reference PWR model (lower).
Appendix 9 – Storage of files

This report is based on the results from a lot of FE-simulations using ABAQUS which is a commercial available code and is thus not stored as part of the work. Below is a short description of files used in the project and directories for storage of these. These files are also stored at SKB.

The files are stored in directories as:

- Geometry
- Input-files
- Plots
- Detailed analyses PWR.docx - this report
- Scripts
1 – Plot-files used in the report

Contents in C:\Users\jhd\mappar\skb\Detailed_PWR_BWR\Plots

Geometry plots

Fig2-1-shearing_planes.png
Fig2-2-detailes.png
Fig2-3-detailes_washer.png
Fig2-4-detailes_bottom.png
Fig3-1-buffer.png
Fig3-steel_lid.png
Fig3-screw.png
Fig3-washer.png
Fig3-pwr-insert1.png
Fig3-pwr-insert2.png
Fig3-pwr-insert3.png
Fig3-pwr-insert4.png
Fig3-pwr-channels1.png
Fig3-pwr-channels2.png
Fig3-pwr-channels3.png
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contents in C:\Users\jhd\mappar\skb\Detailed_PWR_BWR\Plots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Results – comparison</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_detail_mid_corner_plates-mises.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_detail_bottom-mises.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_detail_hole-mises.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_detail_screw-mises.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_detail_bot_corner-mises.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_detail_bot2_corner-mises.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_detail_top2_corner-mises.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_detail_top_corner-mises.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_channels-mises.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_channels-s33.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_insert-s33.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_insert-mises.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_insert-mises2.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_insert-peeq2.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_insert-peeq.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_copper-peeq.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_copper-peeq_max.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g-peeq.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare-pwr_new-model6g_detail_mesh3-mises.png</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contents in C:\Users\jhd\mappar\skb\Detailed_PWR_BWR\Plots

Results – comparison

compare_lock_shear-top_right-mises.png
compare_lock_shear-top_right-peeq.png
compare_lock_shear-top_right-s22.png
compare_lock_shear-top_left-s22.png
compare_lock_shear-top_left-peeq.png
compare_gap_moved-position2.png
compare_gap_moved-position1.png
compare_gap_moved-insert_peeq.png
compare_gap_moved-channels_s33.png
compare_gap_moved-channels_peeq.png
compare_gap_moved-peeq.png
compare_gap_moved-mises.png
compare_gap_moved-top_right-peeq.png
compare_gap_moved-top_right-s22.png
compare_gap_moved-top_left-s22.png
compare_gap_moved-top_left-peeq.png
compare_eccentric-insert_position.png
compare_eccentric-insert_peeq.png
compare_eccentric-channels_s33.png
compare_eccentric-channels_peeq.png
compare_eccentric-peeq.png
compare_eccentric-mises.png
compare_eccentric-top_right-peeq.png
compare_eccentric-top_right-s22.png
compare_eccentric-top_left-s22.png
compare_eccentric-top_left-peeq.png
compare_pwr_screw-peeq-8cm.png
compare_pwr_screw-u2-8cm.png
compare_pwr_screw-peeq-5cm.png
compare_pwr_screw-s22-5cm.png
compare_pwr_screw-u2-5cm.png
compare_pwr_screw-peeq-gravity.png
compare_pwr_screw-s33-gravity.png
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pwr_new2_quasi 10cm peeq screw.png
pwr_new2_quasi 10cm mises screw.png
pwr_new2_quasi 10cm peeq channels_bot.png
pwr_new2_quasi 10cm maxPrin channels_bot.png
pwr_new2_quasi 10cm S33 channels.png
pwr_new2_quasi 10cm peeq insert_bot.png
pwr_new2_quasi 10cm maxPrin insert_bot.png
pwr_new2_quasi 10cm peeq insert_top.png
pwr_new2_quasi 10cm maxPrin insert_top.png
pwr_new2_quasi 10cm peeq insert.png
pwr_new2_quasi 10cm S33 insert.png
pwr_new2_quasi 10cm mises insert.png
pwr_new2_quasi 10cm peeq.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm peeq screw.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm mises screw.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm peeq channels_bot.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm maxPrin channels_bot.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm S33 channels.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm peeq channels.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm peeq insert_bot.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_bot.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm peeq insert_top.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_top.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm peeq insert.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm S33 insert.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm mises insert.png
pwr_new2_quasi 5cm peeq.png
# Appendix 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Path</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 10cm peeq channels_bot.png</td>
<td>10cm peeq channels_bot.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 10cm maxPrin channels_bot.png</td>
<td>10cm maxPrin channels_bot.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 10cm S33 channels.png</td>
<td>10cm S33 channels.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 10cm peeq channels.png</td>
<td>10cm peeq channels.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 10cm peeq insert_bot.png</td>
<td>10cm peeq insert_bot.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 10cm maxPrin insert_bot.png</td>
<td>10cm maxPrin insert_bot.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 10cm peeq insert_top.png</td>
<td>10cm peeq insert_top.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 10cm maxPrin insert_top.png</td>
<td>10cm maxPrin insert_top.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 10cm mises insert.png</td>
<td>10cm mises insert.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 10cm peeq.png</td>
<td>10cm peeq.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 10cm peeq screw.png</td>
<td>10cm peeq screw.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 10cm mises screw.png</td>
<td>10cm mises screw.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm peeq channels_bot.png</td>
<td>5cm peeq channels_bot.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm maxPrin channels_bot.png</td>
<td>5cm maxPrin channels_bot.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm S33 channels.png</td>
<td>5cm S33 channels.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm peeq channels.png</td>
<td>5cm peeq channels.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm peeq insert_bot.png</td>
<td>5cm peeq insert_bot.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_bot.png</td>
<td>5cm maxPrin insert_bot.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm peeq insert_top.png</td>
<td>5cm peeq insert_top.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_top.png</td>
<td>5cm maxPrin insert_top.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm peeq insert.png</td>
<td>5cm peeq insert.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm S33 insert.png</td>
<td>5cm S33 insert.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm mises insert.png</td>
<td>5cm mises insert.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm peeq.png</td>
<td>5cm peeq.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm peeq screw.png</td>
<td>5cm peeq screw.png</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr Eccentric3 Quasi 5cm mises screw.png</td>
<td>5cm mises screw.png</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm peeq channels_bot.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm maxPrin channels_bot.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm S33 channels.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm peeq channels.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm peeq insert_bot.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm maxPrin insert_bot.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm peeq insert_top.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm maxPrin insert_top.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm peeq insert.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm S33 insert.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm mises insert.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm peeq.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm peeq screw.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 10cm mises screw.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 5cm peeq channels_bot.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 5cm maxPrin channels_bot.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 5cm S33 channels.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 5cm peeq channels.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 5cm peeq insert_bot.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_bot.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 5cm peeq insert_top.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_top.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 5cm peeq insert.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 5cm S33 insert.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 5cm mises insert.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 5cm peeq.png
pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi 5cm peeq screw.png
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Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm peeq channels_bot.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm maxPrin channels_bot.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm S33 channels.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm peeq channels.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm peeq insert_bot.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm maxPrin insert_bot.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm peeq insert_top.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm maxPrin insert_top.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm peeq insert.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm maxPrin insert_bot.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm S33 insert.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm mises insert.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm peeq.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm peeq screw.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 10cm mises screw.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm peeq channels_bot.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm maxPrin channels_bot.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm S33 channels.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm peeq channels.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm peeq insert_bot.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_bot.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm peeq insert_top.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_top.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm peeq insert.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_bot.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm S33 insert.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm mises insert.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm peeq.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm peeq screw.png
Restart_pwr_new_lock_quasi 5cm mises screw.png
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pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm peeq channels_bot.png
pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm maxPrin channels_bot.png
pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm S33 channels.png
pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm peeq channels.png
pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm peeq insert_bot.png
pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_bot.png
pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm peeq insert_top.png
pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_top.png
pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm peeq insert.png
pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm S33 insert.png
pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm mises insert.png
pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm peeq.png
pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm peeq screw.png
pwr_new_lock_half_quasi 5cm mises screw.png
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pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm pceq channels_bot.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm maxPrin channels_bot.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm S33 channels.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm pceq channels.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm maxPrin insert_bot.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm maxPrin insert_bot.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm pceq insert_top.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm maxPrin insert_top.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm pceq insert.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm S33 insert.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm mises insert.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm pceq.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm pceq screw.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 10cm mises screw.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm pceq channels_bot.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm maxPrin channels_bot.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm S33 channels.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm pceq channels.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_bot.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_bot.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm pceq insert_top.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm maxPrin insert_top.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm pceq insert.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm S33 insert.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm mises insert.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm pceq.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm pceq screw.png
pwr_new2_washer3_quasi 5cm mises screw.png
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compare_5cm-pwr_new-model6g_pwr_channels-mises.png
compare_5cm-pwr_new-model6g_pwr_channels-s33.png
compare_5cm-pwr_new-model6g_pwr_insert-s33.png
compare_5cm-pwr_new-model6g_pwr_insert-mises.png
compare_5cm-pwr_new-model6g_pwr_insert-mises2.png
compare_5cm-pwr_new-model6g_pwr_insert-peeq2.png
compare_5cm-pwr_new-model6g_pwr_insert-peeq.png
compare_5cm-pwr_new-model6g_pwr_copper-peeq.png
compare_5cm-pwr_new-model6g_pwr_copper-peeq_max.png
2 – Input files used for the simulations

Each analysis is started by abaqus job=input-file (w/o .inp).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contents in C:\Users\jhd\mappar\skb\Detailed_PWR_BWR\Input-file</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_quasi.inp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_eccentric3_quasi.inp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_gap_moved2_quasi.inp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_lock_quasi.inp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new_lock_half_quasi.inp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pwr_new2_washer3_quasi.inp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 – Scripts used for post-processing

Used inside ABAQUS/CAE or by abaqus cae startup=script.py after appropriate editing of job-name inside the script-file.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contents in C:\Users\jhd\mappar\skb\Detailed_PWR_BWR\Scripts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>contour2_plots.py - script for contour plots (PWR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shear_lock.py - script for comparison plots (PWR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shear_gap_moved.py - script for comparison plots (PWR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shear_eccentric.py - script for comparison plots (PWR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pictures.py - script for geometry plots (PWR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compare_model6g.py - script for comparison plots (PWR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 – Geometry definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contents in C:\Users\jhd\mappar\skb\Detailed_PWR_BWR\Geometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pwr2.cae pwr2.jnl - ABAQUS/CAE-database and journal files (PWR-insert)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CAD-geometries received from SKB:**
IDE-00015.pdf
IDE-00015.stp
IDE-00015-001.pdf
IDE-00015-21.pdf
IDE-00015-211.pdf
IDE-00015-001.stp
IDE-00015-21.stp
IDE-00015-211.stp
IDE-00025-131.stp
IDE-00025-13.stp
IDE-00015-121.stp