

Från: Linder, Jan
Skickat: den 1 juni 2010 14:10
Till: 'Tim Hicks'
Kopia: Strömberg, Bo
Ämne: SV: Comments to review report

Hi Tim

Factual errors should of course be corrected. Other comments should be assessed all together with your and Tamaras view of the QA review. Eventually it is up to your judgment if something should be changed in the report. I hope that this answers your question, if not please give me a call.

//Jan

Från: Tim Hicks [mailto:tim.hicks@galson-sciences.co.uk]
Skickat: den 1 juni 2010 12:20
Till: Linder, Jan; Strömberg, Bo
Ämne: RE: Comments to review report

Jan/Bo

SKB and contractors have provided a broader range of comments than I was anticipating. We should discuss how to best to respond.

Tim

-----Original Message-----

From: Christina Lilja [mailto:Christina.Lilja@skb.se]
Sent: 27 May 2010 08:15
To: Tim Hicks
Cc: Tamara Baldwin; jan.linder@ssm.se; Kärnteknisk Säkerhet - Gemensam Mailbox
Subject: Comments to review report

Dear Tim,

I'm sorry for the delay, but now we've read the draft review report. Though the parts covering the detailed review of the corrosion of LOT as well as the Minican reflects the discussions at the review meetings quite well, we can't find the more general conclusions drawn on the SKB corrosion programme well underbuilt by the review of these two experiments.

I have summarized our comments in the attached document. As you can see from the two other documents, I have also asked both Ola Karnland and Nick Smart to contribute with their own comments.

Please let me know if you have any further questions or comments to our comments.

Best regards,
Christina

Christina Lilja
Safety and Science

Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB
Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co
Box 250, SE-101 24 Stockholm
+46 8 579 387 08
+46 70 325 18 15

