

Från: Tim Hicks [tim.hicks@galson-sciences.co.uk]
Skickat: den 2 juni 2010 15:52
Till: Linder, Jan
Ämne: RE: Comments to review report

Hi Jan

Tamara has started to work through the comments and revise the report as we think necessary, so that the report is ready by this Friday. We want to be absolutely sure that our summary is as clear as possible and that findings cannot be taken out of context. From some of SKB's comments, we can see that there is potential for misunderstanding, which we will put right. We are also converting the report to SSM format.

As I mentioned, we have exhausted our budget for this work and ask if SSM would be able cover the cost of dealing with these comments. We think it's going take about 2.5 days of Tamara's time (6,720 SEK/day) and 0.5 days of my time (10,560 SEK/day). That is a total of 22,080 SEK.

Best regards

Tim

From: Linder, Jan [mailto:Jan.Linder@ssm.se]
Sent: 01 June 2010 13:10
To: Tim Hicks
Cc: Strömberg, Bo
Subject: SV: Comments to review report

Hi Tim

Factual errors should of course be corrected. Other comments should be assessed all together with your and Tamaras view of the QA review. Eventually it is up to your judgment if something should be changed in the report. I hope that this answers your question, if not please give me a call.

//Jan

Från: Tim Hicks [mailto:tim.hicks@galson-sciences.co.uk]
Skickat: den 1 juni 2010 12:20
Till: Linder, Jan; Strömberg, Bo
Ämne: RE: Comments to review report

Jan/Bo

SKB and contractors have provided a broader range of comments than I was anticipating. We should discuss how to best to respond.

Tim

-----Original Message-----

From: Christina Lilja [mailto:Christina.Lilja@skb.se]
Sent: 27 May 2010 08:15
To: Tim Hicks
Cc: Tamara Baldwin; jan.linder@ssm.se; Kärnteknisk Säkerhet - Gemensam Mailbox
Subject: Comments to review report

Dear Tim,

I'm sorry for the delay, but now we've read the draft review report. Though the parts covering the detailed review of the corrosion

of LOT as well as the Minican reflects the discussions at the review meetings quite well, we can't find the more general conclusions drawn on the SKB corrosion programme well underbuilt by the review of these two experiments.

I have summarized our comments in the attached document. As you can see from the two other documents, I have also asked both Ola Karnland and Nick Smart to contribute with their own comments.

Please let me know if you have any further questions or comments to our comments.

Best regards,
Christina

Christina Lilja
Safety and Science

Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB
Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co
Box 250, SE-101 24 Stockholm
+46 8 579 387 08
+46 70 325 18 15
<mailto:christina.lilja@skb.se>c<mailto:christina.lilja@skb.se>>

DISCLAIMER: The information in this email and in any attachments is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy this message, delete any copies held on your systems and notify the sender immediately. You should not retain, copy or use this email for any purpose, or disclose all or any part of its content to any other person. Galson Sciences Limited. Registered in England and Wales No. 2738897. Registered Office: 5 Grosvenor House, Melton Road, Oakham, Rutland LE15 6AX, United Kingdom.

DISCLAIMER: The information in this email and in any attachments is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy this message, delete any copies held on your systems and notify the sender immediately. You should not retain, copy or use this email for any purpose, or disclose all or any part of its content to any other person. Galson Sciences Limited. Registered in England and Wales No. 2738897. Registered Office: 5 Grosvenor House, Melton Road, Oakham, Rutland LE15 6AX, United Kingdom.