


Från: "Claudio.PESCATORE@oecd.org" <Claudio.PESCATORE@oecd.org> 
Ämne: IRT - SKB Review - last question
Datum: 3 februari 2012 14:19:39 CET
Till: "Olofsson, Karin" <Karin.Olofsson@ssm.se>
Kopia: Dverstorp, Björn <Bjorn.Dverstorp@ssm.se>, "add-SKB2010PR@nea.fr" <add-SKB2010PR@nea.fr>

1 bilaga, 1 K

Dear Karin

We have one last question to pass on to SKB concerning our peer review of their work:

“Given the conservative assumptions and data SKB uses in its assessment of the performance of the proposed repository, please describe if and in what manner those conservative assumptions bias the repository system understanding and the results of the SKB performance analyses. For example, if a “best estimate” set of assumptions and data were used, would there be a difference in the dominant radionuclide(s) contributing to dose, or the relative importance of various “barriers” (e.g, bentonite buffer, bentonite backfill, copper canister, spent fuel dissolution rate, radionuclide transport through the backfill and geosphere, biosphere dose conversion factors)?”

SKB has been solicitous in answering our previous questions. We look forward to their answer within a reasonable delay of time.

With kind regards

Claudio Pescatore



Claudio Pescatore, PhD

Principal Administrator for Radioactive Waste Management and
Decommissioning

OECD Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA)

Tel.: +33 (0)1 45 24 10 48

claudio.pescatore@oecd.org

Update your bookmarks!

On 1 December 2010, the NEA is moving to:

www.oecd-nea.org