


**Från:** Helene Åhsberg <helene.ahsberg@skb.se>   
**Ämne:** SB: additional question to SKB  
**Datum:** 1 mars 2012 10:04:37 CET  
**Till:** Dverstorp, Björn <Bjorn.Dverstorp@ssm.se>

1 bilaga, 136 K

Hej Björn

Här kommer SKB's svar på NEA IRT senaste fråga.

Mvh/ Helene Åhsberg

---

**Från:** Dverstorp, Björn [<mailto:Bjorn.Dverstorp@ssm.se>]  
**Skickat:** den 23 februari 2012 09:59  
**Till:** Helene Åhsberg  
**Ämne:** VB: additional question to SKB

Hej Helene

Bifogar ytterligare en fråga från OECD NEA:s internationella expertgrupp. Se nedan.

Mvh  
Björn

---

**Från:** Michael Sailer [<mailto:M.Sailer@oeko.de>]  
**Skickat:** den 23 februari 2012 09:23  
**Till:** Dverstorp, Björn  
**Kopia:** [Claudio.PESCATORE@oecd.org](mailto:Claudio.PESCATORE@oecd.org); Stroes-Gascoyne, Sim  
**Ämne:** additional question to SKB

Dear Björn,

Whilst writing the draft of our report an additional question came up, regarding the inclusion of paleoseismic information in SKB's reports.

"We would ask why SKB never refers to paleoseismic investigations in TR-10-48, and why SKB considers the magnitude-frequency relationships derived from 100-1000 year records as sufficient."

Can you please pass this question to SKB.

Best regards

Michael



[1335223 - ...ty.pdf \(136 K\)](#)