

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD.....	2
HIGH-LEVEL FINDINGS.....	7
Background.....	7
Key findings.....	7
Statement to the Swedish Government.....	8
1. INTRODUCTION.....	11
1.1 Background on the Swedish spent fuel disposal programme.....	11
1.2 The context and purpose of SR-Site.....	13
1.3 Remit of the international peer review.....	13
2. FINDINGS ACCORDING TO THE REMIT OF THE REVIEW.....	17
2.2 Findings in specific areas identified in the ToR.....	18
2.2.1 Presentation of safety arguments.....	18
2.2.2 Safety assessment methods.....	19
2.2.4 Handling of remaining issues.....	22
2.2.6 Feasibility.....	23
3. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS IN KEY TECHNICAL AREAS.....	25
3.1 Geosphere.....	25
3.1.1 Geological conditions in the target area.....	25
3.1.2 Hydrogeological and Transport Conditions.....	26
3.1.3 Geochemical and Biogeochemical Conditions.....	26
3.1.4 Rock Mechanical Conditions.....	27
3.2 Buffer and Backfill.....	28
3.6.1 Underground constructions.....	32

3.6.2	Deposition hole rejection criteria	32
3.6.3	Feasibility of initial state and industrial production	32
3.6.4	Management issues.....	33
3.6.5	Document and data control.....	34
3.6.6	Quality Assurance (QA) Process.....	34
3.7	Performance assessment.....	35
3.7.1	Safety assessment methodology.....	35
3.7.2	Credibility of scenarios.....	36
3.7.3	Corrosion failure.....	36
3.7.4	Shear failure.....	37
3.7.5	Evaluation of the Total System Performance Assessment Results	37
3.8	Performance confirmation and Best Available Technique (BAT).....	38
3.8.1	Performance confirmation.....	38
3.8.2	Best Available Technique (BAT).....	38
3.9	Societal Aspects	39
3.9.1	Public outreach, input and consent.....	39
3.9.2	Continuity of knowledge	39
4.	DETAILED FINDINGS FROM THE REVIEW OF SPECIFIC TECHNICAL ASPECTS.....	41
4.1.1	Geological Conditions in Target Area.....	42
4.1.2	Hydrogeological and transport conditions.....	44
4.1.3	Geochemical and Biogeochemical Conditions.....	48
4.1.4	Mechanical Conditions.....	58
4.2.1	Safety functions.....	62
4.2.2	Design premises and reference design.....	62
4.2.3	Internal processes	64
4.2.4	Appropriateness of the RD&D programme of SKB.....	65
4.2.5	Concluding remarks.....	66
4.2.6	Summary of IRT Evaluation of Buffer and Backfill	67
4.3.1	Canister design	68
4.3.2	Corrosion.....	69

4.3.3 Corrosion failure.....	71
4.3.4 Canister Design Load.....	72
4.3.5 Summary of IRT Evaluation of Copper Canister Corrosion.....	72
4.4.1 Initial state of fuel.....	74
4.4.2 Safety functions for retardation.....	76
4.4.3 Spent fuel dissolution rate.....	76
4.4.4 Evaluation of spent fuel degradation processes left out of the safety assessment.....	77
4.4.5 Summary of IRT comments and findings related to spent fuel.....	77
4.5.1 General Description of the SKB Biosphere Model.....	78
4.5.2 The biosphere during the temperate period following repository closure.....	79
4.5.3 The biosphere for the rest of the climate cycles.....	80
4.5.4 Biosphere assessments and derivation of landscape dose conversion factors for a glacial cycle.....	82
4.5.5 Summary of IRT findings and recommendations on the biosphere.....	88
4.6 Practical Implementation.....	89
4.6.1 Construction of underground openings and deposition holes.....	89
4.6.2 Deposition hole rejection criteria.....	90
4.6.3 Feasibility of initial state and industrial production.....	91
4.6.4 Management issues.....	94
4.6.5 Document and data control.....	95
4.6.6 Quality assurance (QA).....	97
4.7 Performance assessment.....	99
4.7.1 Safety assessment methodology.....	99
4.7.2 Credibility of scenarios.....	103
4.7.3 Corrosion failure.....	104
4.7.4 Shear failure.....	105
4.7.5 Factors Governing the Total System Performance Assessment.....	105
4.7.6 Evaluation of the Total System Performance Assessment Results.....	107
4.8 Performance confirmation.....	108
4.8.1 Specific points.....	108

4.8.2 Optimisation and Best Available Technique (BAT).....	110
4.9 Societal aspects	111
4.9.1 Public outreach, input and consent.....	111
4.9.2 Continuity of knowledge.....	112
APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 4.....	115
ANNEX 1	117
ANNEX 2	124